Molecular and Genetic Characterization of Depression: Overlap with Other Psychiatric Disorders and Aging
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Abstract
Genome-wide expression and genotyping technologies have uncovered the genetic bases of complex diseases at unprecedented rates. However, despite its heavy burden and high prevalence, the molecular characterization of major depressive disorder (MDD) has lagged behind. Transcriptome studies report multiple brain disturbances but are limited by small sample sizes. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) report weak results but suggest an overlapping genetic risk with other neuropsychiatric disorders. We performed a systematic molecular characterization of altered brain function in MDD using meta-analysis of differential expression of 8 gene array studies across 3 corticolimbic brain regions in 101 subjects. The identified ‘metaA-MDD’ genes suggest altered neurotrophic support, brain plasticity and neuronal signaling in MDD. Notably, metaA-MDD genes display a low connectivity and hubness in coexpression networks as well as a uniform genomic distribution, which is consistent with diffuse polygenic mechanisms. We have integrated these findings with results from over 1,800 published GWAS and show that genetic variations nearby metaA-MDD genes predict a greater risk for neuropsychiatric disorders, and notably for age-related phenotypes, but not for other medical illnesses (including those frequently co-occurring with depression) or body characteristics. Collectively, the intersection of unbiased investigations of gene function (transcriptome) and structure (GWAS) provides novel leads to investigate molecular mechanisms of MDD and suggests common biological pathways between depression, other neuropsychiatric diseases and brain aging.
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Introduction

Major depressive disorder (MDD), a common complex polygenic disease with moderate heritability (~31–42%) [1], is the leading cause of years lost due to disability worldwide in women and men [2], reflecting in many individuals a lifelong trajectory of recurrent episodes, increasing severity and progressive treatment resistance [3]. Gene expression profiles (transcriptomes) in MDD have reported changes in several biological pathways across brain areas, affecting multiple cell types (principal neurons, interneurons, oligodendrocytes or astrocytes), signaling systems (glutamate, y-aminobutyric acid (GABA), brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) and fibroblast growth factor) and biological functions (plasticity and inflammation) [4–12]. However, the moderate sample sizes of postmortem cohorts have limited the interpretability of these studies. Searches for genetic variants associated with MDD using genome-wide association studies (GWAS) and candidate gene studies have not yielded robust leads for MDD [1], although few significant loci were identified when combined with other major psychiatric disorders [13], suggesting a partially shared genetic structure. Similarly, biological and epidemiological studies report common pathologies and risks with other psychiatric disorders and cardiovascular and metabolic diseases as well as genetic markers and brain gene expression naturally occurring, and biological functions (plasticity and inflammation) [4–12].

Subjects and Methods

Human Postmortem Samples

Brain samples were obtained after consent from next of kin during autopsies conducted at the Allegheny County Medical Examiner’s Office (Pittsburgh, Pa., USA) using procedures approved by the Institutional Review Board and Committee for Oversight of Research Involving the Dead at the University of Pittsburgh. Consensus DSM-IV diagnoses were made by an independent committee of experienced clinical research scientists using information from clinical records, toxicology results and a standardized psychological autopsy [22]. This latter incorporates a structured interview, conducted by a licensed clinical psychologist with family members of the index subject, to assess the diagnosis, psychopathology and medical, social and family histories as well as the history of substance abuse.

A total of 51 MDD and 50 control subjects were included in the 8 studies (online suppl. table S1; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000369974). Samples from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dLPFC), subgenual anterior cingulate cortex (sgACC) or rostral amygdala enriched in lateral, basolateral and basomedial nuclei had been previously collected and processed on Affymetrix HG-U133 Plus 2 or Illumina HT12 gene arrays (online suppl. table S1). Four studies were performed in the sgACC, 2 studies in the amygdala and 2 in the dLPFC. Half of the studies had been performed in female subjects in each brain region. Details on subjects, areas investigated and other parameters are available in online supplementary table S2. See also previous reports on the cohorts and datasets [5, 10, 23, 24]. Group means for age, postmortem interval and brain pH were not statistically different for all studies.

Gene Array Data Preprocessing, Gene Matching and Data Filtering

The microarrays were scanned and summarized as by the manufacturers’ default settings. Data from Affymetrix arrays were processed by a robust multiarray (RMA) method, and data from Illumina arrays were processed with the manufacturer’s BeadArray software for probe analysis. Batch effects were evaluated and normalized. Oligonucleotide probes (or probe sets) were matched to gene symbols using the hgu133plus2.db and illuminaHumanv4.db Bioconductor packages. When multiple probes matched with an identical gene symbol, the probe that generated the best disease association (by paired t test) was selected. The potential bias caused by this selection was addressed by permutation analysis in the following analytical steps.

A total of 16,689 unique genes were matched across the 8 studies. Two sequential steps of gene filtering were then performed. First, the sum of ranks across the 8 studies of each gene was calculated, and genes with the lowest 20% rank sum were considered nonexpressed and filtered out. Second, genes displaying very small variation in expression (lowest 20% rank sum of standard deviations) were filtered out. Together, this left 10,680 unique genes for further analysis (online suppl. table S3).

Meta-Analysis of Transcriptome Datasets

A summary is provided here, and details and formulas are available in the supplementary material. However, in short, we adopted a hypothesis-free data mining approach, applied linear models to account for potential confounding covariates and employed a meta-analysis pipeline to combine 8 studies using methods we have recently described [25].

Single-Study Analysis of MDD-Related Differential Gene Expression

The individual study analysis to detect candidate genes involved two major components: a random intercept model (RIM)
and variable selection. Real data analysis and simulation showed improved statistical power and accuracy when applying the two techniques [25]. To account for the paired design and for the existence of several MDD-related potential covariates (e.g. alcohol dependence, antidepressant drug use and death by suicide as well as numerical covariates for age, brain pH, postmortem interval, etc.), we applied an RIM with parameter selection using the smallest Bayesian information criterion (BIC) [26]. To correct the potential bias of the variable selection procedure, we performed a permutation analysis that randomly shuffled the disease labels within each pair to generate a null distribution for p value assessment (B = 500). Subsequently, the permutation-corrected and unbiased p values were adjusted by the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure [27] for multiple comparisons within each study to control the false discovery rate (FDR). Online supplementary table S4 shows the number of differentially expressed genes detected under the raw p value threshold at 0.001 and FDR = 0.05, 0.1, 0.15 and 0.2 using the RIM-BIC model in individual analyses compared to a naïve paired t test. For instance, at the p < 0.001 threshold, the RIM-BIC model detected many more genes than paired t tests. However, when controlled by FDR at a 20% threshold, very few genes were detected, hence motivating the following meta-analysis across the 8 studies.

Meta-Analysis of MDD-Related Differential Gene Expression in the Eight Gene Array Studies

Many microarray meta-analytical methods are available, and the best choice of method for their application depends on the data structure and biological goal [28, 29]. In this study, we combined two approaches based on their complement biological assumptions: the rth ordered p value with one-sided correction (rOP-OC) method [28] detected genes that were differentially expressed in at least 5 out of the 8 studies and with a consistent direction of changes (up- or downregulated), and the random effects model (REM) detected genes by combining effect sizes across all studies. Moreover, a permutation analysis was conducted, since some studies were performed in different brain regions of the same subjects. The results are shown in online supplementary table S4, including the number of differentially expressed genes detected from single-study analyses and meta-analyses. Finally, we applied a loose FDR threshold at 25% for downstream exploratory analysis and took the union of differentially expressed genes identified with rOP-OC and REM (online suppl. fig. S2), forming a 566-candidate gene set denoted ‘metaA-MDD’ genes. Forest plots for all the analyzed genes are available at an interactive website (https://research.psychiatry.upmc.com/SibilleMDD8/).

Real-Time Quantitative PCR

PCR products were amplified in quadruplets on a Mastercycler real-time PCR machine (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) using universal PCR conditions. cDNA samples were obtained from independent cryostat-cut samples adjacent to sections used for the microarray analyses. Results were calculated as the geometric mean of relative intensities compared to internal controls (actin, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and cyclophilin G). Absences of MDD-related changes in the internal controls were tested in individual cohorts. Diagnosis-dependent gene expression differences were assessed by ANCOVA using SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill., USA). To determine covariates to include in the genespecific models, nominal factors were each tested as the main fac-
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results were further combined using Fisher's meta-analysis method (online suppl. table S6). We also calculated three other network measures, namely mean clustering coefficient, mean betweenness centrality and assortativity, for the network constructed from the 566 genes (online suppl. tables S7–S9). The clustering coefficient measures the local community structure. Betweenness centrality measures the extended influence of a network node and quantifies how many shortest network paths intersect a given node. Assortativity quantifies the tendency that an edge will connect two nodes of similar degree (online suppl. table S9).

**Chromosome Localization of MetaA-MDD Genes**

We overlaid the 566 metaA-MDD genes onto the chromosomes and assessed their chromosomal enrichment compared to the respective background gene density using a 1-Mb overlapping moving window on each of the 24 chromosomes. The number of genes among the metaA-MDD genes was counted in each 1-Mb interval on each chromosome as well as the number of background genes (10,680 genes). The significance of enrichment of the metaA-MDD genes in each chromosome region was assessed by Fisher’s exact test.

**Intersection of MetaA-MDD Genes with Disease-Associated Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms from GWAS**

We compared the 566 metaA-MDD genes with genes nearby single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) as identified in the GWAS Catalog database (http://www.genome.gov/gwastudies/). The database (as of February 4, 2014) contained 15,579 entries of disease- or trait-associated SNPs with p values <1/10^{-5} in 1,796 GWAS. We manually regrouped the disorders and traits into 11 categories: (1) neurological disorders and other brain phenotypes; (2) neuropsychiatric disorders; (3) MDD-related disorders; (4) cardiovascular diseases and related traits; (5) metabolic syndrome and related traits; (6) aging; (7) immune system, inflammation (excluding AIDS); (8) other medical illnesses related to MDD; (9) cancer; (10) miscellaneous body measures. Due to the nesting and overlap in some of the categories, we further tested the following gene groups: ‘(1) – (2)’ [i.e. studies in category (1) but not in category (2)] and ‘(6) – (7)’ (see legend for table 1). The enrichment p value by Fisher’s exact test for each of the 1,796 studies was calculated and combined by Fisher’s meta-analysis method for each of the 13 categories as the test statistics. The corrected p value was calculated from randomly selecting the same number of studies and calculating combined p values 10,000 times to generate a null distribution. Analyses were performed using 20-, 50-, 100- and 200-kb upstream or downstream windows to assign genes to SNPs.

**Results**

**Meta-Analysis of Altered Gene Expression in MDD**

We performed a meta-analysis of 8 transcriptome studies across 3 brain regions that are engaged in emotion regulation and implicated in MDD (dlPFC, sgACC and rACC) to test the association with metaA-MDD genes.

**Table 1. Intersection of transcriptome meta-analysis and GWAS investigations for MDD, comorbid disorders and other disorders and traits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Studies, n</th>
<th>20 kb</th>
<th>50 kb</th>
<th>100 kb</th>
<th>200 kb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>genes, n</td>
<td>metaA-MDD genes, n</td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>genes, n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological disorders and other brain phenotypes</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>1,453</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropsychiatric disorders</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td>701</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDD-related disorders</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.199</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical illnesses related to MDD</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>2,608</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0.163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular diseases and related traits</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic syndrome and related traits</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>0.272</td>
<td>1,281</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immune system, inflammation</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.601</td>
<td>847</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other medical illnesses not related to MDD</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>2,264</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous body measures</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>1,309</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genes identified nearby significant genetic variants in each GWAS (at p < 1 × 10^{-5}) of the ~1,800 studies in the GWAS Catalog were sorted into categories based on clinical evidence for comorbidity (or not) with MDD, and the significance of overlap with metaA-MDD genes was calculated for each category. The table includes the number of studies in the respective category as well as the p value of the significance for the overrepresentation of the metaA-MDD genes compared to the total number of genes in that category. In the bottom two analyses, GWAS related to both categories were removed. Results from the ‘aging’ category are not due to overlap in gene content with neuropsychiatry-related genes, whereas the ‘neurological disorders and other brain phenotypes’ category results are driven by neuropsychiatry-related genes. The results are presented for four genomic windows represented by the distance of genes from GWAS SNPs (numbers at the top of the table). Values in italics indicate significant results. Gene categories in boldface are significant.
amygdala) in a combined cohort of 51 MDD and 50 control subjects to identify genes with consistent changes in expression in MDD (online suppl. tables S1–S3). For within-study comparison, we used a permutation-based RIM with best-fit variable selection to assess the MDD effect and to regress for clinical, technical and demographic parameters at the individual gene level [25], hence ensuring that the results were not confounded by any of these parameters. To increase the detection power of capturing MDD effects across studies, we applied two meta-analytical methods based on complementary hypotheses for disease effects, namely consistent effect size (REM) and expression changes (rOP-OC; see Subjects and Methods). The FDR was set at 25% to maintain a discovery component in the study and to retain sufficient genes for combining with results from GWAS in the second phase of the study. This combined approach resulted in the identification of 566 metaA-MDD genes (56.18% genes downregulated) (fig. 1a, online suppl. fig. S1, S2, online suppl. tables S4, S5). We also applied a metaregression approach for sex/gender effect across studies. These studies were considered exploratory, since metaregression usually requires larger number of studies. In all, 39 metaA-MDD genes also displayed a significant sex effect by metaregression (<20% FDR; ‘metaR’ in online suppl. table S5), and 50 additional genes were identified by metaregression only. Forest plots and qPCR validations of representative metaA-MDD genes. In the forest plots, the mean effect size (and 95% confidence interval) for each study are plotted and summarized in the bottom diamond. The x-axis is in variance units. Cortistatin (CORT) codes for a GABA neuron marker previously associated with MDD [5]. The gene array p values are from two alternate meta-analysis strategies (i.e. rOP-OC and REM; see Subjects and Methods). qPCR values are given in average log values of MDD versus control signal ratios. Significant qPCR values were obtained for CRH, acronymic VGF and CORT, and a trend significance level was found for BDNF. Other qPCR validation of array results performed in the original studies [5, 10, 25, 47] yielded a combined array-qPCR Pearson correlation factor of 0.94 (n = 17 genes, p < 0.001; online suppl. fig. S3).
To identify biological pathways represented by the metaA-MDD genes and potentially affected in the disease, we performed an unbiased analysis of overrepresented gene annotations using IPA. The top disorders associated with metaA-MDD genes included mood disorders, other major mental illnesses and brain disorders (fig. 1b). Biological functions overrepresented in metaA-MDD genes centered on two main themes, specifically cell death and survival as well as cell-to-cell signaling, with predicted activation z-scores showing mostly reduced activity (fig. 1b). These results include a decreased expression of genes coding for signaling neuropeptides (CORT, VEGFA and TGFB2) as well as CRH, BDNF and acronymic VGF, three genes with ample prior evidence for involvement in stress-related disorders and MDD (fig. 1c) [33–35]. Notably, these findings from large-scale unbiased approaches are consistent with current hypotheses for depression, i.e. the stress and low neurotrophin hypotheses of MDD [36], and further suggest resistance to cell death and reduced signaling, potentially leading to loss of homeostasis in brain regions associated with mood regulation.

Since these results were obtained from combined gray matter samples, we sought to investigate in silico the putative cellular sites of reduced signaling suggested by the pathway analysis. For this purpose, we returned to the main dataset and examined MDD effects on groups of genes known to be expressed in the inhibitory and excitatory pre- and postsynaptic compartments. We observed a significant pattern of downregulated expression of presynaptic GABA neuron markers, followed by weaker and heterogeneous changes in presynaptic glutamatergic excitatory components. No group-wise changes were observed for genes whose products comprise postsynaptic components. The color scale is in effect size.

Fig. 2. Heat maps of the differential expression of genes expressed in the pre- and postsynaptic excitatory glutamatergic and related inhibitory GABAergic genes. The statistical significance of observing the combined changes is indicated for each compartment. A significant pattern of downregulated expression of presynaptic GABA neuron markers was observed, followed by weaker and heterogeneous changes in presynaptic glutamatergic excitatory components. No group-wise changes were observed for genes whose products comprise postsynaptic components. The color scale is in effect size.
Based on the observations in organ and system diseases, where disease-related genes have often been associated with highly connected hub genes in the coexpression networks [37], we investigated the integration of the metaA-MDD genes within the broader gene coexpression landscape formed by the brain transcriptome [38]. We constructed unweighted gene coexpression networks for each of the 8 studies and performed meta-analyses of network properties. The results show that the metaA-MDD genes displayed a significantly lower mean connectivity ($p < 0.0001$; fig. 3) and a modestly lower clustering coefficient (measure of local community structure; $p = 0.025$), but unchanged betweenness centrality (measure of influence of a gene on the network; $p = 0.99$) and mean assortativity (likelihood of links connecting genes with similar connectivity; $p > 0.9$; fig. 2; online suppl. tables S6–S9). The lack of changes in the latter two measures shows that the overall structure of the gene network has remained intact in MDD, whereas the results of a lower connectivity and clustering coefficient indicate that metaA-MDD genes are generally located more peripherally on gene networks and not enriched in more central, highly connected hub genes. These results are consistent with an earlier report using expression datasets across psychiatric disorders [39]. Relatedly, we tested for the presence of chromosomal enrichment of the metaA-MDD genes. When accounting for the local gene density, the metaA-MDD genes displayed an even distribution across the genome (fig. 4), ruling out the presence of local chromosomal enrichment or genomic hot spots associated with depression.

These combined results (metaA-MDD gene identification, biological pathways, network analyses and chromosomal localizations) are consistent with a disease
model implicating multiple genes with weak effects rather than few key genes with dominant effects, and together they underscore the complex molecular structure for MDD.

**From Expression Meta-Analysis in MDD to GWAS in MDD and Other Disorders**

In the second phase of the study, we sought to independently assess the validity of metaA-MDD genes as candidate genes implicated in polygenic mechanisms not only for MDD but also for other related brain disorders and comorbid medical illnesses and phenotypes. For this, we predicted that the metaA-MDD gene set would significantly and selectively overlap with gene findings obtained via an unrelated and unbiased approach, namely from independent GWAS for neuropsychiatric disorders and other disorders and for phenotypes showing a continuum of risk with MDD. We manually sorted results from ∼1,800 studies that are included in the GWAS Catalog into several categories based on clinical evidence for comorbidity (or not) with MDD and as described in table 1 and online supplementary table S10. We then identified genes located within 100 kb of significant genetic variants in each GWAS (at p < 1 × 10^{-5}) and sought overrepresentation of metaA-MDD genes within those gene sets compared to random gene sets of similar sizes; 167 of the 566 metaA-MDD genes were identified by at least 1 GWAS. These 167 metaA-MDD genes were most significantly overrepresented in the category of ‘neuropsychiatric disorders’, followed by ‘neurological disorders and other brain phenotypes’ and ‘aging’ (table 1; online suppl. table S11). Since these categories are not fully independent, we removed overlapping neuropsychiatry-related studies included in the ‘neurological disorders’ and ‘aging’ categories and retested for significant overlaps with metaA-MDD genes. After this subtraction, the results in the ‘aging’ category remained at the same significance level (table 1, bottom 2 rows), demonstrating that the age-
related findings are related to metaA-MDD genes independently of other neuropsychiatry-related genes. On the other hand, the ‘neurological disorders and other brain phenotypes’ category results were not significant any more, indicating that those results were driven by genes associated with neuropsychiatric disorders. There was no significant overlap between metaA-MDD genes in the category of diseases and phenotypes for which there is low or no evidence for a shared biological risk. Note that GWAS for MDD per se have reported too few significant findings for formal statistical enrichment analysis. Interestingly, we observed no overlap with gene sets associated with cardiovascular, metabolic and inflammatory diseases. This indicates that the clinical comorbidity between MDD and these disorders may be mediated by disease-/MDD-induced biological changes rather than through a shared genetic risk. Similar results were obtained with 20- to 200-kb sliding genomic windows around GWAS-identified SNPs and with several versions of the GWAS Catalog (table 1). Details on metaA-MDD and GWAS-identified genes as well as overlap are shown in online supplementary tables S11 and S12.

Discussion

To begin addressing the major challenge of identifying and characterizing the scope and boundaries of the molecular pathology of MDD, a heterogeneous disorder with expected complex polygenic mechanisms, we first performed a meta-analysis of large-scale transcriptome surveys in corticolimbic brain areas using human postmortem samples. We then used the results from this meta-analysis as an enriched set of candidate genes to perform a top-down-directed analysis for overlap with findings extracted from independent large-scale genetic studies in MDD and multiple other disorders and traits. If successful, we predicted that the integration of these two independent and unbiased investigations of gene function (transcriptome) and structure (GWAS) would (1) identify and confirm some prior findings obtained in MDD using single approaches, (2) bypass some of the limitations of individual approaches (e.g. low power, multiple repeated testing and false positives/negatives) and lead to the identification of robust and informative gene changes in MDD and (3) contribute to detangling the genetic structure of the depression syndrome from its patterns of clinical comorbidities.

The results from the transcriptome meta-analysis identified a set of differentially expressed genes in MDD that is enriched for changes in components of synaptic machinery, specifically suggesting reduced neurotrophic support and presynaptic GABA function (fig. 1, 2; online suppl. table S5). These results are consistent with prior findings in MDD and from individual datasets included here [5, 40, 41], and together they provide unbiased evidence in support of several current hypotheses about biological mechanisms of MDD [41], specifically the stress, GABA and low neurotrophin hypotheses of MDD [35, 36, 42]. Note that a low CRH expression in MDD subjects was unexpected, considering evidence from human and animal studies suggesting that elevated CRH function and activity of the neuroendocrine stress axis may be engaged in MDD [35]. A potential explanation is that low CRH levels may result from a feedback loop on central CRH due to peripheral neuroendocrine overactivation.

The transcriptome meta-analysis results do not provide evidence in support of several other biological hypotheses about mechanisms of MDD (for instance related to mitochondrial dysfunction, increased inflammation and activated immune functions) [41]. There may be several explanations for these negative results. First, a lack of positive findings may reflect the reactive nature of induced depressive states through some of these mechanisms (e.g. inflammation). These phenotypes may occur in subgroups of MDD cases, and these sporadic cases would not be captured by meta-analytical approaches. Second, the current approach tested for similar and consistent changes across brain regions, and alternative brain region-specific hypotheses were not evaluated here. For instance, no evidence for changes in monoamine-related genes was found (with the exception of reduced HTR2C expression; online suppl. table S5), but we did not investigate the presynaptic midbrain compartment of those systems, which is more likely dysregulated in MDD [43]. Moreover, associations of changes with several parameters, including death by suicide and drug treatments, were not investigated here, since our meta-analytical methods regressed for the putative effects of these factors. We did, however, perform exploratory analyses on metaregression for sex, although these studies were underpowered due to the limited number of studies and since the results were corrected for simultaneous testing on 10,680 genes (see Subjects and Methods). Nonetheless, the data are available on a per gene basis investigation (https://research.psychiatry.upmc.com/SibilleMDD8/), and uncorrected exploratory and a priori analyses of the dataset may yield further information. For instance, we previously showed a reduced expression of somatostatin, specifically in women with MDD, in the same cohort [40, 44, 45], which here yielded metaA and metaregression p val-
Collectively, these findings contribute to delineating biological pathways for risk, or a broad vulnerability to psychopathology across psychiatric disorders, consistent with meta-analytical GWAS across major mental illnesses [13]. This may occur most likely through concerted changes in genes collectively mediating critical biological pathways, including presynaptic GABA inhibitory and neurotrophic functions as well as cell survival (fig. 1b). This may not necessarily translate into a common pathology across those disorders. Rather, pathways corresponding to those genetic risks may represent biological substrates for moderation by multiple external and/or environmental factors in MDD, and for interactions with other disease-specific pathologies occurring in other psychiatric disorders, together giving rise to the observed diversity in pathophysiological and clinical presentations.

However, our approach also substantially departed from a GWAS across psychiatric disorders [13], since it sought convergence between two unbiased and independent investigations of gene function (transcriptome) and structure (GWAS) and since it extended the analysis to multiple groups of disorders and phenotypes. The overlap between metaA-MDD genes and genes identified by nearby genetic variants in GWAS for age-related phenotypes is intriguing (table 1). We are separately investigating the potential biological sources of the increased risk for neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric disorders that is associated with aging [21]. These parallel studies are mostly prompted by observations that age-dependent gene expression changes in the human brain occur along a continuous lifelong trajectory and affect many of the same genes and in the same directions as in depression and other brain disorders [21, 46]. These observations have collectively suggested a pattern of accelerated, or at least anticipated, brain aging in psychiatric disorders. Hence, the prediction would have been that the significant overlap between metaA-MDD genes and aging would have disappeared after removing genes in the ‘aging’ category that were also identified through GWAS for psychiatric disorders. This was clearly not the result observed, as the age-related findings remained at a very similar statistical significance after that exclusion (table 1), suggesting that the pathways may be independent. These results support the presence of a more profound biological link between depression and aging, which will need to be further investigated in follow-up studies, specifically in terms of putative overlap and links between implicated biological pathways.

In summary, the results from the transcriptome meta-analysis and from the analyses of gene function, the coex-
pression network and the chromosomal localization of metaA-MDD genes together support a molecular pathology for MDD that is distributed across multiple genes, with some functional convergence for genes coding for stress and neurotrophic factors and suggesting reduced neurotrophic support, neuronal signaling and GABA function. These findings are consistent not only with selected prior knowledge about putative mechanisms of MDD but also with past difficulties in identifying singular molecular changes. Nonetheless, the current study provides numerous new gene leads for further analysis. The integration of transcriptome meta-analysis with genetic studies led to critical new perspectives. Specifically, the convergence of these two unbiased and independent investigations of gene function and structure supports the presence of pathophysiologically relevant and genetically linked biological modules that are implicated across psychiatric disorders and that are continuous with aging. Our results also show that this genetic and biological overlap does not extend to medical illnesses that are frequently co-occurring with MDD. Accordingly, this report significantly contributes to the debate on the singularity versus continuum of pathological substrates underlying common psychiatric and brain disorders.
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